Measurement of W and Z boson cross sections in pp collisions at 7 TeV with the ATLAS detector

#### **Ryan Reece**

University of Pennsylvania On behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

> The International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics (EPS-HEP 2011) Grenoble, France July 22, 2011

#### The Large Hadron Collider

- 27 km circumference
- pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$
- instantaneous luminosity  $10^{30}$ - $10^{33}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
- 50–150 ns bunch spacing



#### The ATLAS Experiment

- 3000 scientists
- 38 countries
- 174 institutions
- 100 M readout channels
- tracking
- calorimetry
- muon spectrometry
- massive worldwide grid computing,  $\sim 1~{\rm PB}$  / year



 $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ candidate with 10 additional soft "pile-up" interactions.

High  $p_{\rm T}$ leptons allow us to select the interesting EW events.

Conversely, W/Z provide events for understanding high  $p_{\rm T}$  lepton performance.





Run Number: 180164, Event Number: 14635109 Date: 2011-04-24 01:43:39 CEST





#### The dataset

2010 2011 Total Integrated Luminosity [pb<sup>-1</sup>] otal Integrated Luminosity [fb <sup>-1</sup> ne Luminosity  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ 70 1.8 60 1.6 LHC Delivered Stable HC Delivered Stable ATLAS Ready Recorded ATLAS Ready Recorded 1.4 50 1.2 40 30 0.8 0.6  $> 1~{
m fb}^{-1}$ 30-40 pb<sup>-1</sup> 20 0.4 10 0.2 26/03 23/04 21/05 18/06 16/07 13/08 10/09 08/10 05/11 27/02 01/04 04/05 06/06 10/07 Day in 2010 Day in 2011 [3] ATLAS Data Summary

- June 2010: Observation of  $W \to \ell \nu$  and  $Z \to \ell \ell$
- Oct 2010: Measurement of  $W \to \ell \nu$  and  $Z \to \ell \ell$  cross sections
- Nov 2010: Observation of  $W 
  ightarrow au_{
  m h} 
  u$
- Feb 2011: Observation of  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau \rightarrow \ell \tau_h$
- Mar 2011: Observation of  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau \rightarrow \ell \ell$
- July 2011: Measurement of  $p_{\rm T}^Z$
- July 2011: Measurement of  $p_{T}^{W}$
- July 2010: Update: Measurement of  $W \to \ell \nu$  and  $Z \to \ell \ell$  cross sections
- July 2011: Measurement of  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$  cross section
- July 2011: Measurement of  $W \rightarrow \tau \nu$  cross section

#### The dataset

#### 2010 2011 otal Integrated Luminosity [pb<sup>-</sup>] tegrated Luminosity [fb √s = 7 TeV 1.8 60 LHC Delivered Stable 1.6 Delivered Stable ATLAS Ready Recorded ATLAS Ready Recorded 1.4 12 40 30 0.8 The results shown today use the 2010 dataset, where our detector

related systematics have improved and the pile-up is less. At the end, I will show a hint of our  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$  events in the 2011 data.

3 AILAS Data Summary

- ${\small @}$  June 2010: Observation of  $W \rightarrow \ell \nu$  and  $Z \rightarrow \ell \ell$
- Oct 2010: Measurement of  $W \to \ell \nu$  and  $Z \to \ell \ell$  cross sections
- Nov 2010: Observation of  $W \to \tau_{
  m h} \nu$
- Feb 2011: Observation of  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau \rightarrow \ell \tau_h$
- Mar 2011: Observation of  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau \rightarrow \ell \ell$
- July 2011: Measurement of  $p_{\rm T}^Z$
- July 2011: Measurement of  $p_T^W$
- July 2010: Update: Measurement of  $W \to \ell \nu$  and  $Z \to \ell \ell$  cross sections
- July 2011: Measurement of  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$  cross section
- July 2011: Measurement of  $W \rightarrow \tau \nu$  cross section

#### Ingredients for a cross section

$$\sigma = \frac{N_{\rm obs} - N_{\rm bkg}}{A \cdot C \cdot \int dt \,\mathcal{L}}$$

 $N_{
m obs}$ : number of observed events in the signal region

 $N_{
m bkg}$ : estimated number of background events

- EW backgrounds are estimated with Monte Carlo, constrained to data with performance scale factors.
- QCD backgrounds are estimated with data-driven methods.
- A: kinematic acceptance factor, estimated with generator-level Monte Carlo.
- *C*: summarizes reconstruction efficiency, estimated with reconstructed Monte Carlo, corrected with scale factors.
- $\int dt \, \mathcal{L}$ : integrated luminosity.

The main advancements in W and Z cross section measurements since last winter are due to the reduction of systematics.

Examples:

- **Tag and probe** studies lead to many improvements in electron and muon identification and **scale factors** correcting our simulation thereof.
- Reduced acceptance uncertanties by switching from using a LO Pythia generator to MC@NLO,  $p_T^W$  and  $p_T^Z$  reweighted to better agree with data (discussed later).

#### Tag and probe studies





- "Tag" events with sufficient purity, leaving an unbiased "probe" object.
- Measure probe ID efficiency *in situ*.
- Constrains the performance of our object identification.
- Derive scale factors for correcting our simulation.

[4] ATLAS-PERF-2010-04-001

### $W ightarrow \ell u$ and $Z ightarrow \ell \ell$

Ryan Reece | Penn | ryan.reece@cern.ch | ATLAS W and Z cross sections | EPS-HEP 2011

#### Event selection



11 / 31

#### **QCD** background estimation

- W 
  ightarrow e 
  u: template fit to  $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ . Template derived from data with inverted electron ID and isolation.
  - $Z \to ee$ : template fit to  $m_{\ell\ell}$  to a sample with looser electron ID, extrapolated to the signal region.
- $W 
  ightarrow \mu 
  u$ : matrix method using track isolation.
- $Z 
  ightarrow \mu \mu$ : ABCD method with track isolation in  $m_{\mu\mu}$  side-band.



#### **Cross section results**

	$\sigma$ [nb]	stat.	sys.	lumi.	acc.
=	10.255	0.031	0.190	0.349	0.084
=	10.210	0.030	0.179	0.373	0.153
=	0.952	0.010	0.026	0.032	0.019
=	0.935	0.009	0.009	0.032	0.019
	= = =	$ \begin{array}{rcl} \sigma \; [nb] \\ = & 10.255 \\ = & 10.210 \\ = & 0.952 \\ = & 0.935 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c c} \sigma \ [\text{nb}] & \text{stat.} \\ \hline = & 10.255 & 0.031 \\ \hline = & 10.210 & 0.030 \\ \hline = & 0.952 & 0.010 \\ \hline = & 0.935 & 0.009 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} \sigma \ [\text{nb}] & \text{stat.} & \text{sys.} \\ \hline = & 10.255 & 0.031 & 0.190 \\ = & 10.210 & 0.030 & 0.179 \\ = & 0.952 & 0.010 & 0.026 \\ = & 0.935 & 0.009 & 0.009 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$



- Dominant uncertainty is luminosity.
- Acceptance uncertainty remains significant due to the extrapolation from the fiducial volume.
- Detector related uncertainties partially cancel in the ratio.

#### **Cross section results**

		$\sigma$ [nb]	stat.	sys.	lumi.	acc.
$\sigma_W \cdot \mathrm{BR}(W \to e\nu)$	=	10.255	0.031	0.190	0.349	0.084
$\sigma_W \cdot \mathrm{BR}(W \to \mu \nu)$	=	10.210	0.030	0.179	0.373	0.153
$\sigma_{Z/\gamma*} \cdot \mathrm{BR}(Z/\gamma* \to ee)$	=	0.952	0.010	0.026	0.032	0.019
Many more details on the $W \to \ell \nu$ and $Z/\gamma * \to \ell \ell$ cross section						019
managements including differential managements of $d = /d \pi$ and						

measurements, including differential measurements of  $d\sigma/d\eta$  and their constraints on proton PDFs will be discussed in Massimiliano Bellomo's talk in the QCD session this afternoon.



uncertainty remains significant due to the extrapolation from the fiducial volume.

 Detector related uncertainties partially cancel in the ratio.

# $p_{\mathrm{T}}^W$ and $p_{\mathrm{T}}^Z$

Ryan Reece | Penn | ryan.reece@cern.ch | ATLAS W and Z cross sections | EPS-HEP 2011

#### Z boson $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ measurement

- Important for modeling high- $p_{\rm T}$  lepton kinematics.
- At leading order,  $p_{\rm T}^{W/Z} = 0$
- Non-zero  $p_{\rm T}^{W/Z}$  is generated through the hadronic recoil of ISR,  $p_{\rm T}^R$ .
- $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{Z}$  reconstructed directly from  $p_{\mathrm{T}}(\mu_{1}) + p_{\mathrm{T}}(\mu_{2})$ , while  $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{W}$  reconstructs  $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{R}$ .
- Detector and FSR effects removed with a bin-by-bin unfolding.
- 3-4% precision per bin.



#### W boson $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ measurement

- Necessary for a future precision W mass measurement.
- Detector and FSR effects removed by inverting a response matrix parametrizing the probabilistic mapping of p<sub>T</sub><sup>R</sup> to p<sub>T</sub><sup>W</sup>.



### W, Z boson $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ reweighting

- The modeling of  $d\sigma/dp_{\rm T}^{W/Z}$  can have significant effects on the expected efficiency and acceptance.
- NLO generators MC@NLO and POWHEG have deficits at high  $p_{\rm T}^{W/Z}$ .
- NLO effects are important at high  $p_{\rm T}^{W/Z}$  because the W/Z is polarized by higher order QCD.



•  $W \to \ell \nu$  and  $Z \to \ell \ell$  cross section measurements use MC@NLO reweighted to match  $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{W/Z}$  for LO Pythia, which agrees with the data because it has been tuned well to the Tevatron data.

### Z ightarrow au au and W ightarrow au u

Ryan Reece | Penn | ryan.reece@cern.ch | ATLAS W and Z cross sections | EPS-HEP 2011

#### Taus in ATLAS

- Tracks are matched to jet seeds and discriminating variables are calculated from the combined tracking and calorimeter information.
- 1 or 3-prong signature
- Narrow clustering of tracks and calorimeter deposits.
- Three advanced discriminants: p<sub>T</sub>-parametrized cuts, projective likelihoods, boosted decision trees.



9] ATLAS-CONF-2011-077

#### $Z ightarrow au au ightarrow \ell au_{ m h}$ event selection

- single lepton trigger
- One tight  $e/\mu$  with  $p_{\rm T} > 16/15~{\rm GeV}$
- One tight  $\tau_{\rm h}$  with  $p_{\rm T}>20~{\rm GeV},$  1 or 3 tracks, unit charge

- tight lepton isolation to reject QCD multijets
- opposite sign

$$\sum \cos \Delta \phi > -0.15$$



Ryan Reece | Penn | ryan.reece@cern.ch | ATLAS W and Z cross sections | EPS-HEP 2011

21 / 31

#### $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ : W + jet suppression

$$\sum \cos \Delta \phi = \cos[\phi(\ell) - \phi(E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})] + \cos[\phi(\tau_{\rm h}) - \phi(E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})]$$

- Quantifies if the  $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$  is between the decay products
- Only dependent on the direction, not the magnitude of the E<sup>miss</sup><sub>T</sub>.
- All channels except  $\mu\mu$  require  $\sum \cos\Delta\phi > -0.15$



#### $Z ightarrow au au ightarrow \ell \ell$ event selection

#### $e\mu$ -channel

- one e with  $p_{\rm T} > 15~{\rm GeV}$
- one  $\mu$  with  $p_{\rm T} > 10~{\rm GeV}$
- opposite sign, tight isolation
- $\sum \cos \Delta \phi > -0.15$
- $\sum E_{\rm T} + E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} < 150 \text{ GeV}$ (rejects  $t\bar{t}$ )



#### $\mu\mu$ -channel

- two  $\mu$  with  $p_{\rm T} > 20~{\rm GeV}$
- opposite sign, tight isolation
- BDT for rejecting  $Z/\gamma* \to \ell\ell$

• 
$$m_{\mu\mu} = 25-65 \,\, \text{GeV}$$



#### Z ightarrow au au background estimation

- Jets are wider in data than in Monte Carlo  $\Rightarrow \tau_h \text{ ID}$ fake rate is underestimated in Monte Carlo.
- Normalize  $W \rightarrow \ell \nu + \text{jets}$ Monte Carlo using high  $m_{\rm T}$ data.
- Other EW backgrounds estimated from Monte Carlo.
- QCD estimate is data-driven, scailing SS data by R<sub>OS/SS</sub>, measured in non-isolated (multijet rich) data sample, correcting for EW contamination with Monte Carlo.



Ryan Reece | Penn | ryan.reece@cern.ch

ATLAS W and Z cross sections | EPS-HEP 2011

#### Z ightarrow au au cross section results

 $\sigma_{\rm combined} = 0.97 \pm 0.07 ({\rm stat.}) \pm 0.07 ({\rm sys.}) \pm 0.03 ({\rm lumi.})$ nb

 $\sigma_{\rm theory} = 0.96 \pm 0.05 \ {\rm nb}$  at NNLO



#### W ightarrow au u event selection



efficiency measured in inverted tau ID sample.

[11] STDM-2011-23

Ryan Reece | Penn | ryan.reece@cern.ch | ATLAS W and Z cross sections | EPS-HEP 2011

#### W ightarrow au u cross section results

$$\sigma(W \rightarrow \tau \nu) = 11.1 \pm 0.3 (\text{stat.}) \pm 1.7 (\text{sys.}) \pm 0.4 (\text{lumi.})$$
nb

 $\sigma_{\rm theory} = 10.46 \pm 0.52 \text{ nb}$  at NNLO



#### $W \rightarrow \tau_{\rm h} \nu$ tau ID measurement



28 / 31

#### $W ightarrow au_{ m h} u$ tau ID measurement



Many more details on tau performance studies have been discussed in Stan Lai's talk in the Detector session this morning.



 $Z 
ightarrow au au 
ightarrow \ell au_{
m h}$  with 730 pb<sup>-1</sup>



- We now have a substantial control sample of hadronic tau decays.
- More data-driven efforts in taus to come.

[13] ATL-COM-PHYS-2011-842

Ryan Reece | Penn | ryan.reece@cern.ch | ATLAS W and Z cross sections | EPS-HEP 2011 30 / 31

#### Conclusions

• ATLAS has published (or will soon publish this month) W and Z cross section measurements with the 2010 dataset for all lepton flavors:

٥	$W \to e \nu$	٥	$Z \rightarrow ee$
0	$W \to \mu \nu$	٩	$Z  ightarrow \mu \mu$
•	$W \to \tau \nu$	٩	$Z \to \tau \tau$

- We have also published precision measurements of the  $p_{\rm T}^W$  and  $p_{\rm T}^Z$  line-shapes.
- W and Z performance studies have improved our knowledge of our object identification for e,  $\mu$ , and  $\tau$ .
- The 2010 ATLAS Standard Model analyses have set an impressive standard for measurements with the 2011 data.

## **Backup Slides**

#### **References** I

- Photo credit: http://www.flickr.com/photos/naotakem/3239696763/sizes/l/in/photostream/
- [2] ATLAS public event displays. https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/EventDisplayPublicResults
- The ATLAS Data Summary. https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/DATAPREPARATION/DataSummary/2011/
- [4] The ATLAS Collaboration. Electron performance measurements with the ATLAS detector using the 2010 LHC proton-proton collision data. ATLAS-PERF-2010-04-001 (publication draft pending internal review). July 2011. https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/EGAMMA/egamma-2010/
- [5] The ATLAS Collaboration. Measurement of the  $W \to \ell \nu$  and  $Z/\gamma * \to \ell \ell$ production cross sections in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS detector. arXiv:1010.2130v1 [hep-ex]. Oct 2010.
- [6] The ATLAS Collaboration. Measurement of the  $W \rightarrow \ell \nu$  and  $Z/\gamma * \rightarrow \ell \ell$ production cross sections in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS detector. STDM-2011-06 (publication draft pending internal review). July 2011.

#### **References II**

- [7] The ATLAS Collaboration. Measurement of the transverse momentum distribution of  $Z/\gamma *$  bosons in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS detector. arXiv:1107.2381v1 [hep-ex]. July 2011.
- [8] The ATLAS Collaboration. Measurement of the transverse momentum distribution of W bosons in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS detector. STDM-2011-15 (publication draft pending internal review). July 2011.
- [9] The ATLAS Collaboration. Reconstruction, Energy Calibration, and Identification of Hadronically Decaying Tau Leptons. ATLAS-CONF-2011-077. May 2011.
- [10] The ATLAS Collaboration. Measurement of  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$  production cross section in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS detector. STDM-2011-18 (publication draft pending internal review). July 2011.
- [11] The ATLAS Collaboration. Measurement of the  $W \rightarrow \tau \nu$  production cross section in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS Experiment. STDM-2011-23 (publication draft pending internal review). July 2011.
- [12] The ATLAS Collaboration. *Measurement of hadronic tau decay identification efficiency using*  $W \rightarrow \tau \nu$  *events.* ATLAS-CONF-2011-093. July 2011.
- [13] The ATLAS Collaboration. Approved preliminary plots of  $m_{\rm vis}$  for  $Z \to \tau \tau \to \ell \tau_{\rm h}$  events with 730 pb<sup>-1</sup>. ATL-COM-PHYS-2011-842 July 2011.

#### **Collider kinematics**



#### Phenomenology of tau decays

#### Tau identification variables

- Electrmagnetic radius:  $R_{\text{EM}} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \{\text{EM } 0-2\}}^{\Delta R_i < 0.4} E_{\text{T},i}^{\text{EM } \Delta R_i}}{\sum_{i \in \{\text{EM } 0-2\}}^{\Delta R_i < 0.4} E_{\text{T},i}^{\text{EM }}}$ • Track radius:  $R_{\text{track}} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{\Delta R_i < 0.4} p_{\text{T},i} \Delta R_i}{\sum_{i}^{\Delta R_i < 0.4} p_{\text{T},i}}$
- Leading track momentum fraction:  $f_{\rm track} = \frac{p_{{\rm T},1}^{\rm track}}{p_{{\rm T}}^{\tau}}$
- Core energy fraction:  $f_{\text{core}} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \{\text{all}\}}^{\Delta R_i < 0.1} E_{\text{T},i}^{\text{EM}}}{\sum_{i \in \{\text{all}\}}^{\Delta R_i < 0.4} E_{\text{T},i}^{\text{EM}}}$
- Electromagnetic fraction:  $f_{\rm EM} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \{\rm EM \ 0-2\}}^{\Delta R_i < 0.4} E_{{\rm T},i}^{\rm EM}}{\sum_{i \in \{\rm all\}}^{\Delta R_j < 0.4} E_{{\rm T},i}^{\rm EM}}$
- Cluster mass:  $m_{\rm clusters}$ , invariant mass clusters at the EM energy scale.
- ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet }}$  Track mass:  $m_{\rm tracks}$  , invariant mass of the track system.
- Transverse flight path significance:  $S_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{flight}}$

Motivation: taus tend to be collimated more than jets, have a leading track, and often significant neutral pion deposits in the EM calorimeter.

Ryan Reece | Penn | ryan.reece@cern.ch | ATLAS W and Z cross sections | EPS-HEP 2011

#### Tau discriminants

#### Outs

 $p_{\rm T}\text{-}{\rm parametrized}$  cuts on  $R_{\rm EM}$  and  $R_{\rm track},$  and a cut on  $f_{\rm track}.$ 

- Projective likelihood  $d = \ln\left(\frac{L_S}{L_B}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^N \ln\left(\frac{p_i^S(x_i)}{p_i^B(x_i)}\right)$
- Boosted decision trees (BDT)





Penn

EPS-HEP 2011

#### Seeing hadronic taus



#### **Electrons in ATLAS**



- Seeded by matching calorimeter clusters from a sliding-window algorithm to inner detector tracks.
- Candidates are selected by:
  - track quality
  - track-cluster matching
  - narrow calorimeter cluster
  - high electromagnetic fraction
- Tight candidates have cuts on E/p and high thesholds hits from the transistion radiation in the TRT.

#### **Muons in ATLAS**



- Combination of muon spectrometer segments with inner detector tracks.
- Track combination matching to reject decays in flight.
- Impact parameter constraints to reject cosmic muons.

#### $W ightarrow \ell u$ and $Z ightarrow \ell \ell$ systematics reduction



#### Z boson $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ measurement

- Important for modeling high-p<sub>T</sub> lepton kinematics.
- At leading order,  $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{W/Z} = 0$
- Non-zero  $p_{\rm T}^{W/Z}$  is generated through the hadronic recoil of ISR,  $p_{\rm T}^R$ .
- Detector and FSR effects removed with a bin-by-bin unfolding.



$$\frac{\Delta \sigma_Z^i}{\Delta p_{\rm T}{}^i} = \frac{1}{\Delta p_{\rm T}} \cdot \frac{N_{\rm obs}^i - N_{\rm bkg}^i}{A^i \cdot C^i \cdot \int dt \mathcal{L}}, \qquad C^i = C_{\rm MC}^i \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm data}^{{\rm ID},i}}{\varepsilon_{\rm MC}^{{\rm ID},i}} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm data}^{{\rm trig},i}}{\varepsilon_{\rm MC}^{{\rm trig},i}}$$





 $\begin{array}{ll} p_{_{T}}(\mu^{_{}}) = 27 \; GeV & \eta(\mu^{_{}}) = \; 0.7 \\ p_{_{T}}(\mu^{_{}}) = 45 \; GeV & \eta(\mu^{_{}}) = \; 2.2 \end{array}$ 

 $M_{\mu\mu} = 87 \text{ GeV}$ 

Z+μμ candidate in 7 TeV collisions





Run Number: 152409, Event Number: 5966801

Date: 2010-04-05 06:54:50 CEST



#### W-ev candidate in 7 TeV collisions

 $p_{T}(e+) = 34 \text{ GeV}$   $\eta(e+) = -0.42$   $E_{T}^{miss} = 26 \text{ GeV}$  $M_{T} = 57 \text{ GeV}$ 



Run Number: 152221, Event Number: 383185

Date: 2010-04-01 00:31:22 CEST

 $p_{T}(\mu+) = 29 \text{ GeV}$   $\eta(\mu+) = 0.66$   $E_{T}^{miss} = 24 \text{ GeV}$  $M_{T} = 53 \text{ GeV}$ 

### W→µv candidate in 7 TeV collisions







Run 155697, Event 6769403 Time 2010-05-24, 17:38 CEST

### $W \rightarrow \tau \nu$ candidate in 7 TeV collisions

